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Flexim Americas Corporation has been granted ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA), after a thorough assessment and review of its quality management system and competence to perform calibrations on specific flow-metering equipment.

A2LA accreditation, Certificate #3791.01, demonstrates the company’s competence to manage and perform calibration of all Flexim transducers, field services and also the calibrations performed in the field.

A2LA is the largest US-based, multi-discipline accreditation body providing internationally recognized accreditation services and quality training. A2LA’s accreditation services encompass testing and calibration laboratories, medical, inspection, proficiency testing providers, reference material producers and product certification bodies.

A2LA also offers a variety of public and private on-site training programs to complement the various accreditation programs. In conjunction with ISO, the authority for lab certification in the US has verified proficiency of the flow lab at Flexim and its field service procedures. This means both lab and field services are accredited.

The accreditation helps verify that Flexim not only calibrates meters, but ensures the highest level of accuracy.
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According to the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), pumping systems use a significant amount of energy in process plants (http://goo.gl/TiBA72). To be more specific, let’s look at petroleum refining — pumping systems consume almost 60% of the total energy used by machine drives (mechanical rotating equipment). In the chemicals sector, this number is still a respectable 28–30%. So, shouldn’t we take an energy efficiency “magnifying glass” to our pumping systems and reap some benefits from possible low- or no-cost measures?

The type of analysis you should perform to understand and evaluate a pumping system is no different than that for other energy-intensive systems. A systems approach will always lead to a truly optimized configuration; as my pumping system expert friends say, “it will get you the best wire-to-water efficiency.” More importantly, it will ensure that we do not fall into possible engineering traps relating to fluid mechanics!

Every pumping application starts with understanding the relationship between flow and head (pressure differential) for the system and process requirement. This also is known as the “system curve.” Once that’s properly understood, the next step is to identify a pump (or pumps) that will meet the system demand. If you are evaluating the current system in place, then your pump already is defined and you will need to get the pump curves. Overlaying the system curve on the pump’s curve provides a significant amount of insight on the pumping system operation. Not only will it give information about the horsepower consumed but will also supply a wealth of knowledge on the current operating efficiency of the system, net positive suction head required \((NPSH_R)\), etc. If you take some basic information from the operating pump (pressure differential, amps drawn and speed), you’ll have everything you
need to make a good assessment of the operations. (For more on pump curves, see “Select the Right Centrifugal Pump,” http://goo.gl/zuPhZo.)

Process plants have hundreds or even thousands of pumps, so there needs to be a simple way of screening and prioritizing these systems. Most often, you should focus on the largest energy hogs or possibly pumps that will give us the highest amount of savings. A screening tool easily can identify 20% of those pumps that possibly can provide 80% of the energy savings at a plant. I have provided below a few characteristics for short-listing your pumping systems:

1. Large horsepower;
2. Long operating hours;
3. Operations with recirculating flow or bypass;
4. Operations with significant throttling downstream of the discharge;
5. Multiple pumps in operation while design specifies one or a lesser number of pumps;
6. Very noisy pump operation (possibly because of cavitation); and
7. History of periodic pump failure (possibly due to incorrect application).

The next step is to do an energy assessment, starting with pump and motor efficiency. Most times, the motor efficiency is very high unless the motor is operated at extremely low loads (<25%). The pump efficiency can be obtained from the pump curve. Note that similar to motors, pumps also have energy efficiency standards, which are provided by The Hydraulic Institute. Using the operating data from the pumping system and the pump curves, compare the pumping efficiency to the design (or best efficiency point), to get a good indication of the possible savings achievable with the optimized pump and motor combination. However, you shouldn’t stop your investigation here; now is where “the devil is in the details” really applies.

From a process perspective, you should really look at how much energy is needed to go from Point A to Point B for the specific amount of process flow required. That is where you’ll see a significant amount of system savings. Evaluate different scenarios: a lower number of operating pumps; proper impeller sizing or trimming; adjustable speed drives, piping modifications, etc. The opportunities could be endless.

I am going to leave you here with a link to the U.S. DOE Pumping System Assessment Tool (PSAT) which I have used frequently on pumping systems that I run across while doing energy assessments in process industries (http://goo.gl/Zv43ku). I hope you, too, can use it, and save some energy dollars in your pumping systems.
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What I’m about to discuss here has been covered in other CP articles, e.g., “Improve Sustainability on a Shoestring,” http://goo.gl/iUE1Yo. Nevertheless, I still feel it’s important enough to talk about based on some first-hand practical experiences my colleague, Subodh Chaudhari, and I have had over the past two years doing BoilerMACT energy assessments. We assessed more than 100 process heaters and steam generation equipment along with their historical operating data (hourly averages for one year). These units varied significantly in design, heat duty and operational characteristics, but still had commonalities when it came to excess air control, heat recovery and operating efficiencies.

In a nutshell, our energy assessment results relating to improving excess air control showed that:

• Steam systems are usually better set up and controlled than process heaters;
• Process heaters can save ~2–5% of the energy, whereas steam systems can save ~1–3%;
• Simple payback on these projects can be anywhere from a few months to 1.5 years; and,
• Excess air control is dictated by environmental regulations and also sometimes by unique operating constraints determined by management through analysis (e.g., less frequent decoking required by using higher excess air).

Large deviations from the targeted, optimized excess air (and flue gas oxygen content) are possible over time and varying maintenance schedules. Additionally, changing production demands and fuel mix, heating value and firing rate will cause the optimized excess air percentage to fluctuate.

From a layman’s perspective, and per my mentor and good friend Greg Harrell, excess air control and energy efficiency come down to two principles:

• Have enough air to ensure complete combustion as well as avoid combus-tibles in the flue gas; and
• Minimize the amount of air needed so that all extra mass (nitrogen specifically
— 79% of air) is not heated from the ambient and released at stack temperature.

So, how do we manage excess air in our process heaters and steam generation equipment? Several different methodologies exist ranging from manual (physical dampers managed by operators as they do their walk-throughs or on an as-needed basis) to a sophisticated variable-frequency-drive (VFD) forced-draft fan and an automatically controlled balancing damper. With the advent of highly reliable and longer service life high-temperature in-situ sensors, cascade control technologies and data historians, implementation of automatic excess air control (oxygen trim control) has become very cost-effective; every plant should investigate automating the process. Furthermore, while the primary driver is oxygen, I have seen several places successfully implement a cascade system that combines oxygen and carbon monoxide in the flue gas to achieve a very tight and optimized combustion. In addition, you must consider the emission permits and limits relating to NO\textsubscript{x} when configuring the excess air controller for each process heater and all steam generation equipment.

As a simple best practice, install a positional controller with a periodic (quarterly or monthly) tune-up activity for the burner(s). A positional controller uses a fixed relationship (physical linkage mechanism) between the fuel valve opening (firing rate) and the damper opening. This controller requires periodic tuning because the fuel mix may change; linkages develop hysteresis and drift; ambient temperature changes with seasons, thereby changing the mass of air through the fan — remember, a fan is a fixed volume machine but the combustion process is dictated by mass; etc.

On the other hand, a state-of-the-art automatic oxygen trim controller with a VFD continuously monitors the flue gas oxygen with an in-situ sensor and trims the excess air with speed control on the fan to optimize the combustion process. In addition to the fuel energy, this also saves fan electrical energy!

In closing, I recommend you review the operations of your process heaters and steam generation equipment and evaluate if you can economically justify upgrading excess air control mechanisms. I am not going to suggest any “rules of thumb,” but instead direct you to an energy savings calculator from the United States Department of Energy called PHAST (Process Heating Assessment and Survey Tool), http://goo.gl/cDagDu, where you can model your process heater and see the energy and economic benefit of controlling excess air. ■
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A vast number of distillation columns operate at sites worldwide. Many of these towers offer significant opportunities for upgrading, experts say. For example, Henry Kister, senior fellow and director of fractionation technology for Fluor, Aliso Viejo, Calif., points out that preheating is valuable when a tower bottleneck occurs below the feed in a tower that has spare capacity above.

Preheating increases the total heat energy requirement compared to adding heat in the reboiler, so it is a first-law-of-thermodynamics energy guzzler. However, because the feed is colder than the tower bottoms, an economizing medium such as waste heat or the bottoms can provide a large portion of the heat, turning preheaters into a second-law-of-thermodynamics energy savers, he notes.

“Benefits from preheating with an economizing heating medium usually far outweigh the first law losses. Generally, the effectiveness of preheating for either hydraulically unloading the bottom section or for saving energy is maximized when the fraction vaporized is of similar order to the fraction of lights in the feed, as detailed by the classic paper of Patterson and Wells (Chem. Eng., Sept. 1977),” Kister adds.

Inter-reboilers can save more energy and gain more capacity than the preheater, he says, but at the expense of greater complexity.
It’s a similar story with precoolers and inter-condensers: they both can save energy when an economizing medium can pick up some of the overhead condenser heat duty but lead to a higher condensing energy requirement in the tower.

Another issue for optimizing post-revamp efficiency he highlights is manipulation of column operating pressure/pressure drop. Raising the pressure boosts vapor density and reduces vapor velocity, thus increasing vapor handling capacity. In most distillations, a higher pressure lowers relative volatility, pushing up reflux and reboil requirements for achieving the same separation. This counters the vapor density effect and tends to lower capacity.

“At lower pressures (<50 psia), usually the vapor density effect dominates, so raising pressure enhances capacity. At higher pressure (>150 psia), and especially when a tower is limited by liquid handling capacity, lower distillation pressure tends to maximize capacity. Lower pressure usually leads to lower energy consumption due to the lower reflux and reboil requirements. See Kister and Doig, CEP, August 1981, for more,” he explains.

Kister cautions that while trays come in high-capacity versions there are no high-efficiency ones, despite what sometimes is claimed.

He notes that except for the flow path length, once a tray design is hydraulically sound, changing the tray geometry can’t greatly improve efficiency, as can be inferred from the O’Connell correlation (see “Perry’s Chemical Engineers’ Handbook”) that has been the standard of the industry for decades, as well as from Kister’s own relatively recent detailed article (CEP, June 2008).

“Lowering tray spacing can increase the number of trays in an existing tower, thus improve separation, at the price of reduced capacity. Features like forward push devices, high ratios of top-to-bottom downcomer areas, using multi-pass trays, are all invaluable means of enhancing capacity, but do little to improve efficiency,” he emphasizes.

ONE COMPANY’S EXPERIENCE

AkzoNobel, Amsterdam, is carrying out many revamps worldwide. “As well as increasing capacity, [these revamps] are also important when different raw materials, different process set-ups and different product compositions are needed,” says Maurits Romme, lead process engineer, projects & engineering, who is based in Arnhem, The Netherlands.

Preheaters loom large in many of his revamp considerations, particularly when the opportunity exists to expand column capacity by using a lower-cost source of heat.

In one project, the original design already used the vapor from the top of the column
to preheat the feed, notes Romme. However, the majority of the heat required for evaporation was delivered by the vapor of the high boiling component generated in the reboiler. The reboiler was heated with a hot oil system.

“To reduce the heat load on this hot oil system — c. 3–5 MW, saving around €1 million/y [$1.1 million/y] in operational costs — we installed an extra pre-evaporator using low-pressure steam as the heating medium. In this way, we could prevent the installation of a new hot oil furnace, saving an estimated €3.5 million [$3.9 million],” he states.

One slightly unexpected challenge came in overcoming operators’ perceptions of how the new system works. Erich Stuy, Arnhem-based discipline specialist process technology, projects & engineering, explains: “They started up the pre-evaporator with some or no reduction of the reboiler duty. This resulted in a large amount of extra vapor over the top of the column, resulting in even more duty in the existing preheaters. It took some time before they could notice this effect in the column. Because of this leadtime, they thought that the complete effect was already there and increased the steam flow. This always resulted in suddenly a much larger vapor flow.” A brief training session settled the issue.

In another project, the company replaced trays with packing in a column used to separate two components with a very large difference in boiling point. Originally, the top and bottom specifications in the column were controlled by adjusting the reboiler duty to keep the steep temperature jump roughly in the middle of the column.

“This always worked fine with the trays, but did not work anymore when these were replaced by packing. Because of the much lower liquid holdup in the packing, this way of controlling was too slow. We did not fully understand what was happening and decided to measure temperatures on the wall of the column. We found that on a horizontal plane temperature differences of over 50°C could occur. The temperature was going up and down at the same position. Of course, we did not understand what was happening and started simulations,” notes Romme.

These simulations showed that at a certain feed composition and reflux a decrease of only 0.1% in the reflux flow would lead to a temperature jump of more than five meters.

“We were unlucky in that we operated exactly in this region. In a packed column the reflux may vary over the diameter of the column. This resulted in a constant going up and down of the temperature jump. We accepted this and made the area in which we control the temperature jump much higher. Finally, we changed from controlling with reboiler duty to controlling the feed flow to the column. This is much faster,” he adds.
Rushing a project is a more-general issue often undermining revamps, Stuy warns. He cites the case of a contractor failing to check column alignment because the revamp was running late due to design changes. The column, because it was out of true, wouldn’t work.

“My advice is to take your time, don’t be in a hurry, and don’t neglect the changes you will need to make to your process control, either.”

**VENDOR PERSPECTIVE**

Fifty years of revamp experience has given Sulzer Chemtech, Winterthur, Switzerland, many insights about upgrading columns.

The company often favors installing pre-heaters to minimize reboiler heat duty. “Columns having a strongly undercooled feed, such as those with small reflux ratios, might have a potential for improvement. By preheating the feed with the product from the sump, the product from the top, or via the heating medium, the energy needed in reboiler will decrease,” notes Laurent Zuber, director product and application management, CPI, aromatics, water and general industry.

Heating the feed above its boiling point at the feed point pressure in the column results in a partial evaporation or flash — which, if carefully assessed, can benefit columns with feed points at a low position. The feed points themselves also deserve scrutiny, because energy demand increases when they are not located at the optimal stage. This is particularly true for towers with rather low reflux ratios and a small number of theoretical stages. “Moving the feed to the right location here can increase column capacity by more than 15%,” emphasizes Zuber.

A revamp to packing from trays might require moving the feed point. Simulation can quantify the additional energy consumption between the optimal and chosen feed locations.
Manipulating operating pressures and temperatures also is crucial to ensure optimum post-revamp efficiency. For example, when a vacuum column equipped with trays is revamped with structured packing, the pressure drop across the column will decrease significantly. Because the sump temperature generally is critical, the original sump pressure will be maintained after the revamp. However, the much lower column pressure drop enables increasing the absolute pressure at the top, resulting in lower vacuum pump costs, higher vapor load and a potentially higher throughput.

“Of course the optimal point is often a combination of all options: increase the pressure at the top, lower pressure at the bottom and increase the throughput,” Zuber adds.

Upgrading column internals — for instance, replacing conventional trays with ones better able to cope with a new service, new purity or new capacity — often can provide significant benefits. For instance, changing from a sieve to a valve with a lateral vapor release can reduce entrainment. This, in turn, allows decreasing the spacing between the trays, creating more space for additional trays and leading to an increased overall separation efficiency of the column.

Reliability and availability also are key considerations when it comes to internals. Here, Zuber cites the example of beer stills used in bio-ethanol purification. Their trays get fouled over time and require cleaning; revamping with anti-fouling trays and adjusting process conditions can increase the time between cleaning to three months from two weeks.

Sometimes a column is too small to cope with new internals; adding a new section may be the answer (Figure 1), he notes.

**CRUCIAL DATA**

The key to success lies in understanding the hydraulic performance of a column, stresses Ken McCarley, technical director of Fractionation Research Inc. (FRI), Still-
water, Okla., a non-profit research consortium. FRI long has been involved in generating data to help improve correlations and methodologies for the hydraulic rating of trays and packings.

A tray works best near its design point; efficiency depends upon achieving steady mixing between vapor rising to the top of a column and a continuous liquid flowing across a tray to form a uniform froth or bubbling liquid, then through downcomers to the next tray, he explains. Trays operate in the spray regime at lower liquid rates when the mixture on the tray deck consists of liquid dispersed in a higher fraction of vapor. Weeping at low vapor rates results in low efficiency due to liquid enriched in light components seeping through the orifices in the tray deck to mix with heavier components on trays below. “A good tray design ensures that the pressure drop created by rising vapor is greater than that of the liquid head on the tray,” notes McCarley.

A proven tray-rating program will show which flooding mechanisms may impact performance.

For instance, such a program will predict the change in efficiency of a sieve tray as a function of vapor rate (Figure 2). “Increasing open area improves capacity, but performance is lost at lower vapor rates. The trade-off between capacity and efficiency

Industry experience and the advancement of hydraulic rating programs have resulted in many improvements in distillation column internals and insights on improved downcomer designs, McCarley stresses.

Mass transfer and hydraulic studies also have enhanced applications that use structured and random packings while recent studies by FRI have brought focus on the improvement of overall column performance through liquid distributor design.

“Simplicity of concept, reliability and competitive cost keep distillation as the separation process of choice. In fact, one of the largest plants that we visited recently indicated that they have over 1,000 columns in service. Due to their universal application, work is always in progress to troubleshoot, revamp and design new columns,” he adds.
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